UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,496	04/15/2004	John C. Sullivan	35502US1	8554
116 7590 07/17/2007 PEARNE & GORDON LLP		EXAMINER		
1801 EAST 9TH STREET			NGUYEN, KIEN T	
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	·		3711	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/825,496	SULLIVAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kien T. Nguyen	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,10,15-19,22-25,29,31,32,40-43,45 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,10,15-19,22-25,29,31,32,40-43,45 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 53, 55 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. 5,52 and 56-58 is/are rejected.	in the application.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 15, 18, 19, 56, and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dignard et al U.S. Patent 4,662,630.

Dignard et al disclosed a mounting assembly comprising a first mounting plate (33); a spring (53) having a first end portion secured to the first mounting plate such the spring is perpendicular with respect to the first mounting plate; an image (14) secured to the first mounting plate; wherein the spring facilitates a wobble movement of the mounting plate and image in a clockwise and counterclockwise manner; a second mounting plate (32) secured to the second end of the spring such that the spring is perpendicular with respect to the second mounting plate; a spring mount (fastener) employed to secure the spring to the first mounting plate.

Regarding claim 15, member (32) is considered a body; the image (14) coupled to the body via at least one spring (53) extending perpendicular between a vertical plane of the body and a vertical plane of the image, such that the image is able to move in a clockwise and counterclockwise manner when activated. A base (25) is for supporting the body, the base comprising a slot provided in a top portion of the base for receiving a bottom portion (31) of the body.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,496

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 23, 24, 25, 29, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Core U.S. Patent 3,195,256.

Core disclosed a mounting display comprising a first mounting plate (20); a spring (66) having a first end portion secured to the first mounting plate such that the spring is perpendicular with respect to the plate; an image (not shown) secured to the first mounting plate; a second mounting plate (69) secured to a second end portion of the spring perpendicularly; the image is a photograph. Core can also be characterized as a body (43), at least one image (photograph) coupled to the body by a spring (66) extending perpendicular between a vertical plane of the body and a vertical plane of the image a base (48) for supporting the body and the base having a slot at (55) for supporting the body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 52, 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dignard et al.

Regarding claim 4, it would have been a matter of design choice to substitute the spring mount with any equivalent fastening means such as epoxy resin to perform the same function.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,496

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claim 52, it would have been a matter of design choice to provide the spring of Dignard et al with any desired compressed height to accommodate any specific user.

Regarding claim 56, it would have been a matter of design choice to construct the body with any desired material such as plastic, and/or metal to accommodate any specific environment.

Claims 5, 10, 16, 17, 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dignard et al in view of Micco U.S. Patent 5,280,905.

It is noted that Dignard et al failed to teach the use of a photograph, and a microchip to produce a sound. However, Micco disclosed a tackling dummy having an image or photograph (14) attached to a body and a sound device for producing sound. Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Dignard et al with the teachings of Micco for the purpose of enhancing the exercising experience.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Core. See the above explanation for claim 52 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 53 and 55 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4428. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kien T. Nguyen/ Kien T. Nguyen, Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

Ktn